Transwall



Declaration Owner

Transwall

1220 Wilson Drive West Chester, PA 800.441.9255 | <u>www.transwall.com</u> | info@transwall.com

Product

ONE LP Demountable Partitions

EPD represents delivery of product to customers in North America.

Functional Unit

The functional unit is one square meter of demountable wall system installed and maintained for use over a 75-year period

EPD Number and Period of Validity

SCS-EPD-10435 EPD Valid May 20, 2025 through May 19, 2030

Product Category Rule

ISO 21930:2017 Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works — Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products and services

Program Operator

SCS Global Services 2000 Powell Street, Ste. 600, Emeryville, CA 94608 +1.510.452.8000 | www.SCSglobalServices.com



| Declaration Owner: | Transwall |
|---|--|
| Address: | 1220 Wilson Drive, West Chester, PA |
| Declaration Number: | SCS-EPD-10435 |
| Declaration Validity Period: | EPD Valid May 20, 2025 through May 19, 2030 |
| Program Operator: | SCS Global Services |
| Declaration URL Link: | https://www.scsglobalservices.com/certified-green-products-guide |
| LCA Practitioner: | Gerard Mansell, Ph.D., SCS Global Services |
| LCA Software and LCI database: | OpenLCA 2.4 software and the Ecoinvent v3.11 database |
| Product RSL: | 30 yrs |
| Markets of Applicability: | North America |
| EPD Type: | Product-Specific |
| EPD Scope: | Cradle-to-Grave |
| LCIA Method and Version: | CML-IA and TRACI 2.1 |
| Independent critical review of the LCA and | 🗆 internal 🛛 🖾 external |
| data, according to ISO 14044 and ISO 14071 | |
| LCA Reviewer: | Thomas Gloria, Ph.D., Industrial Ecology Consultants |
| Product Category Rule: | ISO 21930:2017 Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works — Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products and services |
| PCR Review conducted by: | ISO Technical Committee |
| Independent verification of the | 🛛 external |
| declaration and data, according to ISO 14025 and the PCR | □ internal |
| EPD Verifier: | Thomas Gloria, Ph.D., Industrial Ecology Consultants |
| | |
| Declaration Contents: | 1. Iranswall 2 2. Product 2 3. LCA: Calculation Rules 4 4. LCA: Scenarios and Additional Technical Information 12 5. LCA: Results 14 6. LCA: Interpretation 16 |

Disclaimers: This EPD conforms to ISO 14025, 14040, 14044, and 21930.

Scope of Results Reported: The PCR requirements limit the scope of the LCA metrics such that the results exclude environmental and social performance benchmarks and thresholds, and exclude impacts from the depletion of natural resources, land use ecological impacts, ocean impacts related to greenhouse gas emissions, risks from hazardous wastes and impacts linked to hazardous chemical emissions.

Accuracy of Results: Due to PCR constraints, this EPD provides estimations of potential impacts that are inherently limited in terms of accuracy.

Comparability: The PCR this EPD was based on was not written to support comparative assertions. EPDs based on different PCRs, or different calculation models, may not be comparable. When attempting to compare EPDs or life cycle impacts of products from different companies, the user should be aware of the uncertainty in the final results, due to and not limited to, the practitioner's assumptions, the source of the data used in the study, and the specifics of the product modeled.

In accordance with ISO 21930:2017, EPDs are comparable only if they comply with the core PCR, use the same sub-category PCR where applicable, include all relevant information modules and are based on equivalent scenarios with respect to the context of construction works. The owner of the declaration shall be liable for the underlying information and evidence; SCS shall not be liable with respect to manufacturer information, life cycle assessment data, and evidence supplied or made available to SCS.

1. Transwall

Transwall is a well-established developer and manufacturer of movable floor-to-ceiling and architectural (relocatable) wall systems. Founded in 1963 in the western suburbs of Philadelphia, the brand has positioned itself firmly in the relocatable wall segment of the contract interiors industry. Noted for its high quality products and superior services, Transwall has carved out a strong place for itself in eastern markets. It is also a long-standing and trusted supplier to the federal government with installations throughout the US and the world.

2. Product

2.1 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

Table 1. Product included in the EPD scope.

| Product | Description | Area (m²) | Mass per unit area |
|--|---|-----------|--------------------|
| ONE LP 42"w x 108"h (1.07m x 2.74m) | Floor to ceiling glass wall product consisting of a low profile lightweight aluminum skeleton & butt-joint glass - 3 1/8" thick with 1" vertical and horizontal elements providing a slim profile. | 2.93 | 35.61 kg/m² |

2.2 APPLICATION

The demountable partition products provide the primary function of partitioning interior spaces.

2.3 DECLARATION OF METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

The scope of the EPD is cradle-to-grave, including raw material extraction and processing, transportation, product manufacture, product delivery, installation and use, and product disposal. The life cycle phases included in the product system boundary are shown below.

Cut-off and allocation procedures are described below and conform to the PCR and ISO standards. The assessment follows the attributional LCA approach.

| Product | | Construction Process | | | Use | | | | End-of | -life | | Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----|-------------|--------|-------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------|------------------|----------|---|
| A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | A5 | B1 | B1 | В3 | B4 | B5 | B6 | B7 | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D |
| Raw material extraction and processing | Transport to manufacturer | Manufacturing | Transport | Construction - installation | Use | Maintenance | Repair | Replacement | Refurbishment | Operational energy use | Operational water use | Deconstruction demolition | Transport | Waste processing | Disposal | Reuse, recovery and/or recycling potential |
| х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | MND |

Table 2. Life cycle phases included in the product system boundary.

X = Module Included | MND = Module Not Declared

2.4 TECHNICAL DATA

Technical specifications and product performance results for the demountable partition products can be found on the manufacturer's website (https://www.transwall.com).

2.5 MATERIAL COMPOSITION

The products are made primarily from fabricated aluminum and steel components, glass and various plastics and hardware.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Material | ON | ELP |
| Material | Mass (kg) | % |
| Product | | |
| Aluminum | 12.0 | 12% |
| Steel | 8.16x10 ⁻³ | 0.0078% |
| Glass | 90.7 | 87% |
| Plastic | 0.558 | 0.54% |
| Open Cell Foam | 0.752 | 0.72% |
| Other | 9.80x10 ⁻² | 0.094% |
| Total Product | 104 | 100% |

Table 3. Material content for the products in kg per reference flow and as a percentage of total mass.

No chemicals regulated by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) were identified in the product or product components. There are no releases of such substances associated with the production, use or maintenance of the products.

2.6 MANUFACTURING

The products are manufactured at the Transwall production facility in the United States. The manufacturer provided primary data for their annual production, resource use and electricity consumption and waste generation at the facility. Electricity consumption is modeled using Ecoinvent datasets for the applicable regional electricity grid resource mix. No green power sources or CO_2 certificates are included in the present study.

The manufacturer provided material-specific scrap rates from manufacturing of the products, which is accounted for within the raw material extraction and processing and upstream transport phases of the assessment. Disposal of manufacturing scrap, via landfilling, is accounted for in the manufacturing stage.

2.7 PACKAGING

The products are packaged for shipment using adhesive and plastic wrap.

| Table 1. Material content joi the | produce packaging, in hg per reje | ence from and as a percentage of | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Matarial | ONE LP | | | |
| Material | Mass (kg) | % | | |
| Plastic film | 1.81×10 ⁻² | 80% | | |
| Adhesive | 4.54x10 ⁻³ | 20% | | |
| Total Packaging | 2.27x10 ⁻² | 100% | | |

Table 4. Material content for the product packaging, in kg per reference flow and as a percentage of total mass.

2.8 PRODUCT INSTALLATION

The impacts associated with the production, transport and installation of the glass components of the demountable partitions are included in the product installation life cycle phase. Impacts associated with the production and disposal of the product packaging are also included in this phase. The VOC emissions associated with the installation, use and maintenance of the products are negligible.

2.9 USE CONDITIONS

No special conditions of use are noted.

2.10 PRODUCT REFERENCE SERVICE LIFE AND BUILDING ESTIMATED SERVICE LIFE

The Reference Service Life (RSL) of the product is based on the manufacturer's estimated product lifetime and is summarized in Table 5 below. The building Estimated Service Life (ESL) is 75 years, consistent with the PCR.

2.11 RE-USE PHASE

The products are not reused at end-of-life.

2.12 DISPOSAL

No specific data were available regarding the recycling rate of materials in the product at end-of-life. Assumptions for the product end-of-life are based on regional statistics regarding municipal solid waste generation and disposal. Material recycling rates are based on the US EPA's disposal statistics for municipal solid waste (MSW) for 2018.

2.13 FURTHER INFORMATION

Further information on the product can be found on the manufacturers' website at https://www.transwall.com.

3. LCA: Calculation Rules

3.1 FUNCTIONAL UNIT

The functional unit used in the study is defined as 1 m² of demountable partition maintained for 75 years, consistent with the PCR. The corresponding reference flow for each product system is presented in Table 5. For the present assessment, a reference service lifetime (RSL) corresponding to the manufacturer's estimated lifetime is assumed. The total number of required product lifecycles during the 75-year period over which the product system is modeled is also summarized for the products in Table 5.

| Product | Functional Unit | Reference Flow (kg) | Reference Service Lifetime (yrs) | Replacement Cycle | Total # of Lif Cycles |
|---------|---|------------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------------|
| ONE LP | 1 m ² of demountable partition maintained for 75 years | 104.2 | 30 | 1.5 | 2.5 |

| Table 5. | Reference | flows and RSL | for the demountable | partition | products. |
|----------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
|----------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|

3.2 SYSTEM BOUNDARY

The scope of the EPD is cradle-to-grave, including raw material extraction and processing, transportation, product manufacture, product delivery, installation and use, and product disposal. The life cycle phases included in the EPD scope are described in Table 6 and illustrated in Figure 1.

Table 6. The modules and unit processes included in the scope for the Transwall interior demountable partition products.

| Module | Module description from the PCR | Unit Processes Included in Scope |
|--------|--|--|
| A1 | Extraction and processing of raw materials; any reuse of products or materials from previous product systems; processing of secondary materials; generation of electricity from primary energy resources; energy, or other, recovery processes from secondary fuels | Extraction and processing of raw materials for the demountable partition components. |
| A2 | Transport (to the manufacturer) | Transport of component materials to the manufacturing facilities |
| A3 | Manufacturing, including ancillary material production | Manufacturing of products and packaging (including upstream unit processes) |
| A4 | Transport (to the building site) | Transport of product (including packaging) to the building site |
| A5 | Construction-installation process | Impacts from the installation of the product are assumed negligible. Impacts from the production, transport and disposal of waste material associated with installation are included in this phase in addition to impacts from packaging disposal. This phase also includes the production, tempering and transport of glass components of the demountable partition. |
| B1 | Product use | There are no impacts from the use of the demountable partition |
| B2 | Product maintenance | Maintenance of products over the 75-year ESL, including periodic cleaning. Impacts from product maintenance are assumed negligible |
| B3 | Product repair | The products are not expected to require repair over its lifetime |
| B4 | Product replacement | The materials and energy required for replacement of the product over the 75-year ESL of the assessment are included in this phase |
| B5 | Product refurbishment | The products are not expected to require refurbishment over their lifetime |
| B6 | Operational energy use by technical building systems | There is no operational energy use associated with the use of the product |
| B7 | Operational water uses by technical building systems | There is no operational water use associated with the use of the product |
| C1 | Deconstruction, demolition | Demolition of the product is accomplished using hand tools with no associated emissions and negligible impacts |
| C2 | Transport (to waste processing) | Transport of the product to waste treatment at end-of-life |
| C3 | Waste processing for reuse, recovery and/or recycling | The products are disposed of by recycling, landfilling or incineration which require no waste processing |
| C4 | Disposal | Disposal of the product in a municipal landfill or incineration |
| D | Reuse-recovery-recycling potential | Module Not Declared |



Figure 1. Flow Diagram for the life cycle of the product system.

3.3 PRODUCT SPECIFIC CALCULATION FOR USE PHASE

There are no impacts associated with the use of the products.

3.4 UNITS

All data and results are presented using SI units.

3.5 ESTIMATES AND ASSUMPTIONS

- The Reference Service Life (RSL) of the products was modeled based on information provided by the manufacturer assuming their products are installed and maintained as recommended and used for the specific application noted.
- The Transwall manufacturing facility is located in West Chester, PA. An Ecoinvent inventory dataset was modified to reflect the eGRID 2022 energy mix for the RFCE NERC subregion in order to estimate resource use and emissions from electricity use at the manufacturing facility.
- Electricity and resource use (natural gas, propane and water) at the production facility was allocated to the demountable partition products based on product mass utilizing production data for calendar year 2024 provided by the manufacturer.
- Primary data for upstream component fabrication were not available. Representative LCI datasets from the ecoinvent database were used to model processing for aluminum, steel, glass, and plastic material components.
- The Reference Service Life (RSL) of the products was modeled based on information provided by the manufacturer assuming their products are installed and maintained as recommended and used for the specific application noted.
- For end-of-life, disposal of the product and product packaging is modeled based on 2018 statistics for municipal solid waste generation and disposal in the United States, from the US Environmental Protection Agency. These data provide recycling rate estimates for household and municipal waste, durable and nondurable goods, as well as for packaging and containers.
- For final disposal of the product and packaging materials at end-of-life, all materials are assumed to be transported 100 miles (161 km) by diesel truck to either a landfill, incineration facility, or material reclamation facility (for recycling). Datasets representing disposal in a landfill and waste incineration are from Ecoinvent.
- Modeling of recycled materials follows the recycled content method (also known as 100-0 method or cut-off method) whereby only the burdens of reprocessing the waste material are allocated to the system from the use of the recycled material.

The PCR requires the results for several inventory flows related to construction products to be reported including energy and resource use and waste and outflows. These are aggregated inventory flows, and do not characterize any potential impact; results should be interpreted considering this limitation.

3.6 CUT-OFF RULES

According to the PCR, processes contributing greater than 1% of the total environmental impact indicator for each impact are included in the inventory. No data gaps were allowed which were expected to significantly affect the outcome of the indicator results. No known flows are deliberately excluded from this EPD.

3.7 DATA SOURCES

Primary data were provided by Transwall for their manufacturing facility. The source of secondary LCI data is the Ecoinvent database.

| Table 7. Data sources for the | Transwall product system. |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|

| Component | Dataset | Data | Publication |
|--------------------------------------|--|----------|-------------|
| PRODUCT | | Source | Date |
| Aluminum | | | |
| Aluminum pro | | | |
| consumer recycled | market for aluminium scrap, new aluminium scrap, new Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Aluminum, post- consumer recycled | market for aluminium, cast alloy aluminium, cast alloy Cutoff, S/GLO | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Aluminum, primary | market for aluminium, primary, ingot aluminium, primary, ingot Cutoff, S/IAI Area, North America | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Powder coating | powder coating, aluminium sheet powder coat, aluminium sheet Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Anodizing | anodising, aluminium sheet anodising, aluminium sheet Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Metal working | metal working, average for aluminium product manufacturing metal working, average for aluminium product manufacturing Cutoff, S/RoW | El v3.11 | 2024 |
| Steel | | | |
| Steel - BOF | steel production, converter, low-alloyed steel, low-alloyed Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Steel - EAF | steel production, electric, low-alloyed steel, low-alloyed Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Metal working | metal working, average for steel product manufacturing metal working, average for steel product manufacturing Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Powder coating | powder coating, steel powder coat, steel Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Plastics | | | |
| PVC | polyvinylchloride production, bulk polymerisation polyvinylchloride, bulk polymerised Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| PS | polystyrene production, high impact polystyrene, high impact Cutoff, S/RoW | El v3.11 | 2024 |
| PC | polycarbonate production polycarbonate Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| PUR foam | market for polyurethane, flexible foam polyurethane, flexible foam Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Injection molding | injection moulding injection moulding Cutoff, S/RoW | El v3.11 | 2024 |
| Other | | | |
| Silicone | silicone product production silicone product Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Powder coat | coating powder production coating powder Cutoff, S/RoW | El v3.11 | 2024 |
| PACKAGING | | | |
| Plastics | production, low density polyethylene packaging film, low density polyethylene Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Adhesive | polyurethane adhesive production polyurethane adhesive Cutoff, S/GLO | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| INSTALLATION | | | |
| Glass | flat glass production, uncoated flat glass, uncoated Cutoff, S/RoW | El v3.11 | 2024 |
| Tempering | tempering, flat glass tempering, flat glass Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| RESOURCES | | | |
| Grid electricity | market for electricity, medium voltage electricity, medium voltage Cutoff, U - RFCE/US-RFC | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Natural gas | market group for heat, district or industrial, natural gas heat, district or industrial, natural gas Cutoff, S/GLO | El v3.11 | 2024 |
| Propane | propane, burned in building machine propane, burned in building machine Cutoff, S/GLO | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Water | tap water production, conventional treatment tap water Cutoff, S/RoW | El v3.11 | 2024 |
| WASTE DISPOSAL | | | |
| Landfill | treatment of waste paperboard, sanitary landfill waste paperboard Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| | treatment of waste glass, sanitary landfill waste glass Cutoff, S/GLO | El v3.11 | 2024 |

| Component | Dataset | Data Source | Publication Date |
|----------------|---|----------------|---------------------|
| | treatment of scrap steel, inert material landfill scrap steel Cutoff, S/RoW | El v3.11 | 2024 |
| | treatment of municipal solid waste, sanitary landfill municipal solid waste Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| | treatment of waste polyvinylchloride, sanitary landfill waste polyvinylchloride Cutoff, S/RoW | El v3.11 | 2024 |
| | treatment of municipal solid waste, incineration municipal solid waste Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Incineration | treatment of waste paperboard, municipal incineration waste paperboard Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| | treatment of waste glass, municipal incineration waste glass Cutoff, S/RoW | El v3.11 | 2024 |
| | treatment of scrap aluminium, municipal incineration scrap aluminium Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| | treatment of waste polyvinylchloride, municipal incineration waste polyvinylchloride Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| | treatment of scrap steel, municipal incineration scrap steel Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| Waste water | treatment of wastewater, average, wastewater treatment wastewater, average Cutoff, S/RoW | EI v3.11 | 2024 |
| TRANSPORTATION | | | |
| | transport, freight, lorry 16-32 metric ton, EURO4 transport, freight, lorry 16-32 metric ton, EURO4 Cutoff, S/RoW | El v3.11 | 2024 |

3.8 DATA QUALITY

The data quality assessment addressed the following parameters: time-related coverage, geographical coverage, technological coverage, precision, completeness, representativeness, consistency, reproducibility, sources of data, and uncertainty.

Table 8. Data quality assessment for the product system.

| Data Quality Parameter | Data Quality Discussion |
|--|---|
| <i>Time-Related Coverage:</i> Age of data and the minimum length of time over which data is collected | The most recent available data are used, based on other considerations such as data quality and similarity to the actual operations. Typically, these data are less than 5 years old. All of the data used represented an average of at least one year's worth of data collection, and up to three years in some cases. Manufacturer-supplied data (primary data) are based on annual production for 2024. |
| <i>Geographical Coverage:</i> Geographical area from which data for unit processes is collected to satisfy the goal of the study | The data used in the analysis provide the best possible representation available with current data. Electricity use for product manufacture is modeled using representative data for the US. Surrogate data used in the assessment are representative of global or European operations. Data representative of European operations are considered sufficiently similar to actual processes. Data representing disposal processes are based on US statistics. |
| <i>Technology Coverage:</i> Specific technology or technology mix | For the most part, data are representative of the actual technologies used for processing, transportation, and manufacturing operations. Representative fabrication datasets, specific to the type of material, are used to represent the actual processes, as appropriate. |
| Precision: Measure of the variability of the data values for each data expressed | Precision of results are not quantified due to a lack of data. Data collected for operations were typically averaged for one or more years and over multiple operations, which is expected to reduce the variability of results. |
| <i>Completeness:</i> Percentage of flow that is measured or estimated | The LCA model included all known mass and energy flows for production of the demountable partition products. In some instances, surrogate data used to represent upstream and downstream operations may be missing some data which is propagated in the model. No known processes or activities contributing to more than 1% of the total environmental impact for each indicator are excluded. |
| Representativeness: Qualitative assessment of the degree to which the data set reflects the true population of interest | Data used in the assessment represent typical or average processes as currently reported from multiple data sources and are therefore generally representative of the range of actual processes and technologies for production of these materials. Considerable deviation may exist among actual processes on a site-specific basis; however, such a determination would require detailed data collection throughout the supply chain back to resource extraction. |
| Consistency: Qualitative assessment of whether the study methodology is applied uniformly to the various components of the analysis | The consistency of the assessment is considered to be high. Data sources of similar quality and age are used; with a bias towards the most recent data where available. Different portions of the product life cycle are equally considered; however, it must be noted that final disposition of the product is based on assumptions of current average practices in the United States. |
| Reproducibility: Qualitative assessment of the extent to which information about the methodology and data values would allow an independent practitioner to reproduce the results reported in the study | Based on the description of data and assumptions used, this assessment would be reproducible by other practitioners. All assumptions, models, and data sources are documented. |
| <i>Sources of the Data:</i> Description of all primary and secondary data sources | Data representing energy use at Transwall's manufacturing facility represent an annual average and are considered of high quality due to the length of time over which these data are collected, as compared to a snapshot that may not accurately reflect fluctuations in production. For secondary LCI data, Ecoinvent v3.11 LCI data are used. |
| <i>Uncertainty of the Information:</i> Uncertainty related to data, models, and assumptions | Uncertainty related to materials in the products and packaging is low. Actual supplier data for upstream operations was not available for all suppliers and the study relied upon the use of existing representative datasets. These datasets contained relatively recent data (<10 years) but lacked geographical representativeness. Uncertainty related to the impact assessment methods used in the study are high. The impact assessment method required by the PCR includes impact potentials, which lack characterization of providing and receiving environments or tipping points. |

3.9 PERIOD UNDER REVIEW

Manufacturer-supplied data (primary data) are based on annual production for 2024.

3.10 ALLOCATION

Resource use at Transwall's production facility (e.g., water and energy) was allocated to the product based on the product mass as a fraction of the total facility production volume (i.e., mass-based allocation). Based on the location, electricity use at the manufacturing facility was modeled using inventory datasets modified to reflect the eGRID energy mix for the applicable EPA NERC subregion.

Impacts from transportation were attributed to the products based on the mass of material and distance transported.

3.11 COMPARABILITY

The PCR this EPD was based on was not written to support comparative assertions. EPDs based on different PCRs, or different calculation models, may not be comparable. When attempting to compare EPDs or life cycle impacts of products from different companies, the user should be aware of the uncertainty in the final results, due to and not limited to, the practitioner's assumptions, the source of the data used in the study, and the specifics of the product modeled.



4. LCA: Scenarios and Additional Technical Information

Delivery and Installation stage (A4 - A5)

Distribution of the products to the point of installation is included in the assessment. Transportation parameters for modeling product distribution are summarized in Table 9. Production-weighted average distances by transport mode were used to represent product distribution in North America.

Table 9. Distribution parameters for the One LP demountable partition products.

| Parameter | Unit | Value | |
|----------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| Ground transport | | | |
| Fuel type | - | Diesel | |
| Liters of fuel | L/100km | 18.7 | |
| Vehicle type | - | Diesel truck | |
| Capacity utilization | % | 76 | |
| Product Name | Gross mass transported ¹ (kg) | Road Transport Distance (km) | |
| ONE LP | 13.47 | 1,701 | |

¹ Including packaging.

The impacts associated with the production, transport and installation of the glass components of the demountable partition are included in the product installation life cycle phase. Impacts associated with the production of the product packaging are also included in the installation phase.

The VOC emissions associated with the installation, use and maintenance of the products are negligible.

Impacts associated with the disposal of packaging materials are also included in the installation life cycle phase. Assumed recycling rates for packaging component materials are based on the PCR requirements. Table 10 summarizes the relevant parameters for the product installation phase including wastes associated with product packaging.

| Table 10 |). Installation | parameters | for the | demountable | partition | products. | per 1 m^2 . |
|----------|------------------------|------------|---------|-------------|--------------|------------|---------------|
| | | | | | 10 0 0. 0. 0 | 10.000.000 | 10 0 |

| Parameter | ONE LP | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Ancillary materials – tempered glass (kg) | 90.7 | |
| Net freshwater consumption (m ³) | | 0.00 |
| Electricity consumption (kWh) | | 0.00 |
| Product loss per functional unit (kg) | 0.00 | |
| Waste materials generated by product installatio | 2.27x10 ⁻² | |
| Output materials resulting from on-site waste pro | n/a | |
| Mass of packaging wasto (kg) | Plastic | 1.81x10 ⁻² |
| Mass of packaging waste (kg) | Adhesive | 4.54x10 ⁻³ |
| Biogenic carbon contained in packaging (kg CO ₂) | | 0.00 |
| Direct emissions (kg) | | 0.00 |

Use and Maintenance stage (B1; B2)

The product system's use and maintenance life cycle phases were modeled based on the estimated building service life (ESL) of each of the products. Impacts from maintenance and cleaning of the products are assumed negligible. No impacts are associated with the use of the product.

Repair/Refurbishment stage (B3; B5)

Product repair and refurbishment are not relevant during the lifetime of the product.

Replacement stage (B4)

The materials and energy required for replacement of the product over the 75-year estimated service lifetime of the assessment are included in this stage. Modeling parameters for the product replacement stage are summarized in Table 11. Impacts associated with the production, transport, waste processing, and disposal of all materials required for the replacement of the product over the 75-year assessment period are included in this life cycle phase.

Table 11. Product replacement parameters for the products, per reference flow.

| Parameter | Units | Value |
|------------------------|----------------|------------|
| Reference service life | Years | 30 |
| Replacement cycle | - | 1.5 |
| Energy input | kWh | 0 |
| Freshwater consumption | m ³ | 0 |
| Ancillary materials | kg | Negligible |
| Replacement parts | kg | 117 |
| Direct emissions | kg | 0 |

Building operation stage (B6 – B7)

There is no operational energy or water use associated with the use of the product.

Disposal stage (C1 - C4)

The disposal stage includes removal of the products (C1); transport of the products to waste treatment facilities (C2); waste processing (C3); and associated emissions as the product degrades in a landfill or is burned in an incinerator (C4). For the demountable partition products, no emissions are generated during demolition (C1) while no waste processing (C3) is required for incineration or landfill disposal.

Transportation of waste materials at end-of-life (C2) assumes a 100 mile (~161 km) average distance to disposal, consistent with the PCR. The recycling rates used for the product packaging are based on the PCR. The relevant disposal parameters are summarized in Table 12.

| Parameter | | Value |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Assumptions for scenario de | EPA 2018 MSW | |
| Collection process | - | |
| Collected with mixed constru | uction waste (kg) | 35.6 |
| Recovery | n/a | |
| Disposal | Recycled (kg) | 2.82 |
| | Landfill (kg) | |
| | Incineration (kg) | 6.56 |
| Removals of biogenic carbon | (kg CO ₂ eq) | n/a |

5. LCA: Results

Results of the Life Cycle Assessment are presented below. It is noted that LCA results are relative expressions and do not predict impacts on category endpoints, the exceeding of thresholds, safety margins or risks. All LCA results are stated to three significant figures in agreement with the PCR and therefore the sum of the total values may not exactly equal 100%.

The following environmental impact category indicators are reported using characterization factors based on the U.S. EPA's Tool for the Reduction and Assessment of Chemical and Other Environmental Impacts – TRACI 2.1 and CML-IA.

| CMLI-A Impact Category | Unit | TRACI 2.1 Impact Category | Unit |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Global Warming Potential (GWP) | kg CO2 eq | Global Warming Potential (GWP) | kg CO2 eq |
| Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer (ODP) | kg CFC 11 eq | Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP) | kg CFC 11 eq |
| Acidification Potential of soil and water (AP) | kg SO ₂ eq | Acidification Potential (AP) | kg SO ₂ eq |
| Eutrophication Potential (EP) | kg (PO4) ³⁻ eq | Eutrophication Potential (EP) | kg N eq |
| Photochemical Oxidant Creation Potential (POCP) | kg C ₂ H ₄ eq | Smog Formation Potential (SFP) | kg O₃ eq |
| Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources (ADPE) | kg Sb eq | Fossil Fuel Depletion Potential (FFD) | MJ Surplus, LHV |
| Abiotic depletion potential for fossil resources (ADPF) | MJ, LHV | - | - |

These impact categories are globally deemed mature enough to be included in Type III environmental declarations. Other categories are being developed and defined and LCA should continue making advances in their development. However, the EPD users shall not use additional measures for comparative purposes.

The following inventory parameters, specified by the PCR, are also reported.

| Resources | Unit | Waste and Outflows | Unit |
|--|----------------|--|---------|
| RPR _E : Renewable primary resources used as energy carrier (fuel) | MJ, LHV | HWD: Hazardous waste disposed | kg |
| RPR_M: Renewable primary resources with energy content used as material | MJ, LHV | NHWD: Non-hazardous waste disposed | kg |
| NRPRE: Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel) | MJ, LHV | HLRW: High-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository | kg |
| NRPR _M : Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as material | MJ, LHV | ILLRW: Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository | kg |
| SM: Secondary materials | kg | CRU: Components for re-use | kg |
| RSF: Renewable secondary fuels | MJ, LHV | MR: Materials for recycling | kg |
| NRSF: Non-renewable secondary fuels | MJ, LHV | MER: Materials for energy recovery | kg |
| RE: Recovered energy | MJ, LHV | EE: Recovered energy exported from the product system | MJ, LHV |
| FW: Use of net freshwater resources | m ³ | - | - |

Modules B1, B2, B3, B5, B6, and B7 are not associated with any impact and are therefore declared as zero. In addition, modules C1 and C3 are likewise not associated with any impact as the product is manually deconstructed. Additionally, as the products do not contain bio-based materials, biogenic carbon emissions and removals are not declared. Module D is not declared. In the interest of space and table readability, these modules are not included in the results presented below.

| Table 13. Life Cycle Impact Assessment results for the Transwall products over a 75-yr time | e horizon. Results reported in MJ are |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| calculated using lower heating values. All values are rounded to three significant digits. (ON | NE LP) |

| Impact Category | A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | A5 | B4 | C2 | C4 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| CML | | | | | | | | |
| | 50.8 | 7.68 | 2.87 | 8.52 | 50.8 | 194 | 7.97 | 0.640 |
| GVVP (kg CO2 eq) | 16% | 2.4% | 0.89% | 2.6% | 16% | 60% | 2.5% | 0.2% |
| | 0.309 | 2.54x10 ⁻² | 4.79x10 ⁻³ | 2.82x10 ⁻² | 0.357 | 1.14 | 2.99x10 ⁻² | 2.09x10 ⁻³ |
| AP (kg SO ₂ eq) | 16% | 1.3% | 0.25% | 1.5% | 19% | 60% | 1.6% | 0.11% |
| $ED(leg(DQ))^{3}$ | 0.104 | 6.42x10 ⁻³ | 3.64x10 ⁻³ | 7.13x10 ⁻³ | 5.16x10 ⁻² | 0.280 | 6.95x10 ⁻³ | 7.15x10 ⁻³ |
| EP (Kg (PO4) ² eq) | 22% | 1.4% | 0.78% | 1.5% | 11% | 60% | 1.5% | 1.5% |
| | 2.03x10 ⁻² | 1.22x10 ⁻³ | 3.34x10 ⁻⁴ | 1.36x10 ⁻³ | 1.30x10 ⁻² | 5.65x10 ⁻² | 1.34x10 ⁻³ | 1.22x10 ⁻⁴ |
| POCP (kg C2H4 eq) | 22% | 1.3% | 0.35% | 1.4% | 14% | 60% | 1.4% | 0.13% |
| | 1.43x10 ⁻⁵ | 1.02x10 ⁻⁷ | 3.98x10 ⁻⁸ | 1.13x10 ⁻⁷ | 4.53x10 ⁻⁷ | 2.26x10 ⁻⁵ | 9.53x10 ⁻⁸ | 8.93x10 ⁻⁹ |
| ODP (kg CFC-11 eq) | 38% | 0.27% | 0.11% | 0.3% | 1.2% | 60% | 0.25% | 0.024% |
| | 524 | 108 | 38.5 | 120 | 550 | 2,180 | 103 | 8.54 |
| ADPF (MJ eq) | 14% | 3% | 1.1% | 3.3% | 15% | 60% | 2.8% | 0.24% |
| | 1.11x10 ⁻⁴ | 2.48x10 ⁻⁵ | 1.43x10 ⁻⁶ | 2.76x10 ⁻⁵ | 2.36x10 ⁻⁴ | 6.07x10 ⁻⁴ | 2.68x10 ⁻⁶ | 5.93x10 ⁻⁷ |
| ADPE (kg Sb eq) | 11% | 2.5% | 0.14% | 2.7% | 23% | 60% | 0.26% | 0.059% |
| TRACI | | | | | | | | |
| | 50.8 | 7.68 | 2.83 | 8.52 | 50.7 | 194 | 7.97 | 0.640 |
| GVVP (kg CO2 eq) | 16% | 2.4% | 0.88% | 2.6% | 16% | 60% | 2.5% | 0.2% |
| | 0.307 | 3.04x10 ⁻² | 5.36x10 ⁻³ | 3.37x10 ⁻² | 0.364 | 1.17 | 3.83x10 ⁻² | 2.57x10 ⁻³ |
| AF (Kg 502 eq) | 16% | 1.6% | 0.27% | 1.7% | 19% | 60% | 2% | 0.13% |
| | 0.216 | 7.21x10 ⁻³ | 8.17x10 ⁻³ | 8.00x10 ⁻³ | 6.75x10 ⁻² | 0.494 | 3.96x10 ⁻³ | 1.82x10 ⁻² |
| LF (Kg N eq) | 26% | 0.88% | 0.99% | 0.97% | 8.2% | 60% | 0.48% | 2.2% |
| | 3.13 | 0.767 | 0.107 | 0.851 | 4.79 | 16.3 | 1.16 | 6.75x10 ⁻² |
| SFP (kg O3 eq) | 12% | 2.8% | 0.39% | 3.1% | 18% | 60% | 4.3% | 0.25% |
| | 3.94x10 ⁻⁵ | 1.34x10 ⁻⁷ | 5.66x10 ⁻⁸ | 1.49x10 ⁻⁷ | 6.23x10 ⁻⁷ | 6.07x10 ⁻⁵ | 1.30x10 ⁻⁷ | 1.17x10 ⁻⁸ |
| ODP (kg CFC-11 eq) | 39% | 0.13% | 0.056% | 0.15% | 0.62% | 60% | 0.13% | 0.012% |
| | 43.3 | 15.3 | 5.70 | 17.0 | 77.8 | 264 | 15.5 | 1.22 |
| FFD (MJ surpius) | 9.8% | 3.5% | 1.3% | 3.9% | 18% | 60% | 3.5% | 0.28% |

| Parameter | A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | A5 | B4 | C2 | C4 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Resources | | | | | | | | |
| | 129 | 1.39 | 0.845 | 1.54 | 21.1 | 231 | 0.450 | 0.127 |
| RPRE (IVIJ) | 33% | 0.36% | 0.22% | 0.4% | 5.5% | 60% | 0.12% | 0.033% |
| | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KPKM (IVIJ) | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | 545 | 109 | 49.2 | 121 | 561 | 2,250 | 103 | 8.67 |
| INKPRE (IVIJ) | 15% | 2.9% | 1.3% | 3.2% | 15% | 60% | 2.8% | 0.23% |
| | 8.85 | 0.00 | 0.229 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| INKP KM (IVIJ) | 39% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 60% | 0% | 0% |
| | 2.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SIVI (Kg) | 40% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 60% | 0% | 0% |
| RSF/NRSF (MJ) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| RE (MJ) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| EVA (m 3) | 2.42 | 8.33x10 ⁻² | 0.869 | 9.25x10 ⁻² | 1.12 | 6.96 | 3.74x10 ⁻² | 1.04x10 ⁻² |
| FVV (11) ⁻) | 21% | 0.72% | 7.5% | 0.8% | 9.7% | 60% | 0.32% | 0.09% |
| Wastes | | | | | | | | |
| | 5.53x10 ⁻³ | 7.06x10 ⁻⁴ | 2.06x10 ⁻⁴ | 7.84x10 ⁻⁴ | 2.89x10 ⁻³ | 1.64x10 ⁻² | 7.26x10 ⁻⁴ | 5.76x10 ⁻⁵ |
| HVVD (kg) | 20% | 2.6% | 0.76% | 2.9% | 11% | 60% | 2.7% | 0.21% |
| | 2.20 | 5.30 | 0.281 | 5.89 | 7.81 | 72.5 | 0.493 | 26.4 |
| NHVVD (Kg) | 1.8% | 4.4% | 0.23% | 4.9% | 6.5% | 60% | 0.41% | 22% |
| | 1.26x10 ⁻⁴ | 6.53x10 ⁻⁶ | 3.16x10 ⁻⁵ | 7.25x10 ⁻⁶ | 5.04x10 ⁻⁵ | 3.36x10 ⁻⁴ | 2.20x10 ⁻⁶ | 5.27x10 ⁻⁷ |
| HLRVV (Kg) | 22% | 1.2% | 5.6% | 1.3% | 9% | 60% | 0.39% | 0.094% |
| | 3.14x10 ⁻⁴ | 1.55x10⁻⁵ | 1.26x10 ⁻⁴ | 1.73x10 ⁻⁵ | 1.16x10 ⁻⁴ | 8.93x10 ⁻⁴ | 5.05x10 ⁻⁶ | 1.31x10 ⁻⁶ |
| ILLRVV (Kg) | 21% | 1% | 8.5% | 1.2% | 7.8% | 60% | 0.34% | 0.088% |
| CRU (kg) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.43x10 ⁻⁴ | 4.24 | 0.00 | 2.82 |
| IVIR (Kg) | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0.012% | 60% | 0% | 40% |
| MER (kg) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| EE (MJ) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table 14. Resource use and waste flows for the Transwall products over a 75-yr time horizon. Results reported in MJ are calculated using lower heating values. All values are rounded to three significant digits **(ONE LP)**

6. LCA: Interpretation

The contributions to total impact indicator results are dominated by the product replacement phase (B4) of the assessment. Of the remaining life cycle phases, with few exceptions, the contributions to total indicator impacts are dominated by the raw material extraction and processing stages followed by product installation. Product distribution and upstream material transport are generally the next highest contributors to overall impacts while contributions from the remaining life cycle stages are minimal.

7. References

- Life Cycle Assessment of Transwall Demountable Partitions. SCS Global Services Report. Prepared for client. April 2025.
- ISO 14025:2006 Environmental labels and declarations Type III environmental declarations Principles and Procedures.
- ISO 14040: 2006/Amd 1:2017 Environmental Management Life cycle assessment Principles and Framework
- ISO 14044: 2006/Amd 1:2017/ Amd 2:2020 Environmental Management Life cycle assessment Requirements and Guidelines.
- ISO 21930:2017 Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products and services.
- SCS Type III Environmental Declaration Program: Program Operator Manual. V12.0 December 2023.
 SCS Global Services.
- Tool for the Reduction and Assessment of Chemical and Other Environmental Impacts (TRACI). Dr. Bare, J., <u>https://www.epa.gov/chemical-research/tool-reduction-and-assessment-chemicals-and-other-environmental-impacts-traci</u>
- CML-IA Characterization Factors. Leiden University, Institute of Environmental Sciences. April 2013. <u>https://www.universiteitleiden.nl/en/research/research-output/science/cml-ia-characterisation-factors</u>
- Ecoinvent Centre (2024) ecoinvent data from v3.11. Swiss Center for Life Cycle Inventories, Dübendorf, 2024, http://www.ecoinvent.org
- US EPA. Advancing Sustainable Materials Management:2018 Fact Sheet Assessing Trends in Materials Generation and Management in the United States. November 2020. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2020-11/documents/2018_ff_fact_sheet.pdf
- European Joint Research Commission. International Reference Life Cycle Data System handbook. General guide for Life Cycle Assessment – Detailed Guidance. © European Union, 2010.

For more information, contact:

Transwall

Transwall

1220 Wilson Drive West Chester, PA 800.441.9255 | <u>www.transwall.com</u> | info@transwall.com



SCS Global Services 2000 Powell Street, Ste. 600, Emeryville, CA 94608 USA Main +1.510.452.8000 | fax +1.510.452.8001

© 2025 SCSglobalServices.com